UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,214	04/04/2005	Zhaoyin Wang	MC072P	2884

210 7590 03/24/2008
MERCK AND CO., INC
P O BOX 2000
RAHWAY, NJ 07065-0907

EXAMINER	
YOUNG, SHAWQUIA	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1626	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
03/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/530,214	WANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SHAWQUIA YOUNG	1626	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *28 January 2008*.

2a)☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b)☒ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *13-15,17 and 26* is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *13-15,17 and 26* is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date *6/10/05*.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 13-15, 17 and 27 are currently pending in the instant application. Applicants have cancelled claims 1-12, 25, 26 and 28 in an amendment filed on January 28, 2008,

I. *Priority*

The instant application is a 371 of PCT/CA03/01605, filed on October 21, 2003 which claims benefit of US Provisional Application 60/420,292, filed on October 22, 2002.

II. *Information Disclosure Statement*

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on June 10, 2005 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement has been considered by the examiner.

III. *Restriction/Election*

A. Election: Applicant's Response

Applicants' election without traverse of Group VII in the reply filed on January 28, 2008 is acknowledged. Applicants have requested the withdrawal of the restriction requirement. Since Applicants have cancelled the other groups and elected group VII which is drawn to a method of use, the Examiner will withdraw the restriction requirement.

Subject matter not encompassed by elected Group VII are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142 (b), as being drawn to nonelected inventions.

IV. Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

> The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 13-15, 17 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

In *In re Wands*, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (1988), factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure meets the enablement requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, have been described. They are:

1. the nature of the invention,
2. the state of the prior art,
3. the predictability or lack thereof in the art,
4. the amount of direction or guidance present,
5. the presence or absence of working examples,
6. the breadth of the claims,

7. the quantity of experimentation needed, and

8. the level of the skill in the art.

The nature of the invention

Support for the intended use is based on the in vitro inhibition data of cyclooxygenase activity by the instantly claimed compound. The disclosure specifically teaches inhibition of cyclooxygenase 1 and cycloxygenase-2 (see page 24).

The state of the prior art and the predictability or lack thereof in the art

The state of the prior art is that inflammatory diseases, for example, remain highly unpredictable. Enablement for the scope of treating an inflammatory disease or a chronic cyclooxygenase-2 mediated disease is not present in the specification. For example, inflammatory diseases include disorders such as cancer, asthma, autoimmune diseases, chronic inflammation, chronic prostatitis, inflammatory bowel diseases, rheumatoid arthritis, etc.
(<URL:http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Inflammation>)

Furthermore, there is a vast range of causes for the problem and biochemical pathways that mediate inflammatory diseases that affect various parts of the body. There is no common mechanism by which all, or even most, inflammatory diseases arise and one treatment cannot be used to treat all types of inflammatory diseases.

Applicants' claims are drawn to a method of treating or preventing Alzheimer's disease. It is the state of the art that there is no known cure or prevention for Alzheimer's disease and that there are only four medications available in the United

States available to temporarily slow the early stages of Alzheimer's disease. The current drugs for the treatment of Alzheimer disease, Aricept, Exelon, Reminyl and Cognex, treat early stages of Alzheimer's disease by delaying the breakdown of acetylcholine. Memantine, which blocks excess amounts of glutamate treats late stage Alzheimer's disease.
(<URL:http://www.cnn.com/2003/HEALTH/conditions/09/24/alzheimers.drug.ap/index.html>.)

In addition, Layzer, Cecil Textbook of Medicine (article enclosed), states that "some degenerative diseases are difficult to classify because they involve multiple anatomic locations" (see page 2050). Alzheimer's disease has traditionally been very difficult or impossible to prevent or even to treat effectively with chemotherapeutic agents (*See* e.g., the Cecil Textbood of Medicine, 20th edition (1996), Vol. 2, page 1994).

The state of the prior art is that cancer therapy remains highly unpredictable. The various types of cancers have different causative agents, involve different cellular mechanisms, and consequently, differ in treatment protocol. Cancer is a disease characterized by a population of cells that grow and divide without respect to normal limits, invade and destroy adjacent tissues, and may spread to distant anatomic sites through a process called metastasis (URL:http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ Cancer>). Most cancers are named for where they start. For example, lung cancer starts in the lung, and breast cancer starts in the breast. Symptoms and treatment depend on the cancer type and how advanced it is ((<URL:http://www.nlm.nig.gov/medlineplus/print/>

cancer.html>). It is known that the challenge of cancer treatment has been to target specific therapies to pathogenetically distinct tumor types, that cancer classification has been based primarily on morphological appearance of the tumor and that tumors with similar histopathological appearance can follow significantly different clinical courses and show different responses to therapy (Golub et al. page 531). Treatment may include surgery, radiation, chemotherapy, immunotherapy, monoclonal antibody therapy, etc. Furthermore, it is known that chemotherapy is most effective against tumors with rapidly dividing cells and that cells of solid tumors divide relatively slowly and chemotherapy is often less effective against them. It is also known in the prior art (Lala et al. page 91) that the role of NO in tumor biology remains incompletely understood with both the promotion and inhibition of NO mentioned for the treatment of tumor progression and only certain human cancers may be treated by selected NO-blocking drugs. These example shows that there are different cellular mechanisms, the unpredictability in the art and the different treatment protocols. Because "cancer" refers to a class of diseases, it is unlikely that there will ever be a single "cure or treatment for cancer".

There is no absolute predictability even in view of the seemingly high level of skill in the art. The existence of these obstacles establishes that the contemporary knowledge in the art would prevent one of ordinary skill in the art from accepting any therapeutic regimen on its face.

The amount of direction or guidance present and the presence or absence of working examples

There is no evidence of record, which would enable the skilled artisan in the identification of the people who have the potential of becoming afflicted with the numerous diseases or disorders claimed herein. That a single class of compounds can be used to treat or control all diseases embraced by the claims is an incredible finding for which Applicants have not provided supporting evidence. Applicants have not provided enough competent evidence or disclosed tests that are highly predictive for the pharmaceutical use for treating, controlling or preventing all conditions by administering the instant claimed compounds. Applicants have failed to identify what diseases are embraced by the term "inflammatory disease". Further, Applicants have not disclosed in the specification what diseases are embraced by the specification.

The breadth of the claims

The breadth of the claims is drawn to a method of treating an inflammatory disease susceptible to treatment with a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agent; a method of treating cyclooxygenase mediated diseases and a method for treating a chronic cyclooxygenase-2 mediated disease or condition and reducing the risk of a thrombotic cardiovascular event. The specification discloses that "treating a chronic cyclooxygenase-2 mediated disease or condition means treating or preventing any chronic disease or condition that is advantageously treated or prevented by inhibiting the cyclooxygenase-2-enzyme. The term includes the relief of pain, fever, and inflammation of a variety of conditions including rheumatic fever, symptoms, associated

with influenza or other viral infections, common cold, low back pain, neck pain, dysmenorrheal, headache, migraine, toothache, sprains and strains, myositis, neuralgia, synovitis, arthritis, gout, ankylosing spondylitis, bursitis, burns, injuries and pain and inflammation following surgical procedures. In addition the compound can be used in the treatment and/or prevention of cancer and may inhibit the onset or progression of Alzheimer's disease or cognitive impairment. Also the term "thrombotic cardiovascular event" embraces any sudden event of a type known to be caused by platelet aggregation, thrombosis, and subsequent ischemic clinical events, including thrombotic or thromboembolic stroke, myocardial ischemia, myocardial infarction, angina pectoris, transient ischemic attack, reversible ischemic neurologic deficits and any similar thrombotic event in any vascular bed.

The quantity of experimentation needed

The nature of the pharmaceutical arts is that it involves screening *in vitro* and *in vivo* to determine which compounds exhibit the desired pharmacological activities for each of the diseases and disorders instantly claimed. The quantity of experimentation needed would be undue when faced with the lack of direction and guidance present in the instant specification in regards to testing all diseases and disorders generically embraced in the claim language, and when faced with the unpredictability of the pharmaceutical art. Thus, factors such as "sufficient working examples", "the level of skill in the art" and predictability, etc. have been demonstrated to be sufficiently lacking in the instant case for the instant method claims.

The level of the skill in the art

Even though the level of skill in the pharmaceutical art is very high, based on the unpredictable nature of the invention and state of the prior art and lack of guidance and direction, one skilled in the art could not use the claimed invention without undue experimentation.

V. *Conclusion*

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawquia Young whose telephone number is 571-272-9043. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM-3:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph M^{c}Kane can be reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Shawquia Young/
Examiner, Art Unit 1626

/Joseph K McKane/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1626